## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:12-cr-00132
v.	)	Honorable Robert Holmes Bell
FRANCISCO MARTINEZ, JR.,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on April 11, 2014, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Francisco Martinez, Jr. entered a plea of guilty to Count 1 and Count 15 of the Fourth Superseding Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. In Count 1 of the Fourth Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with racketeering conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d). In Count 15 of the Fourth Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute 100 kg or more of marijuana in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a) and 841(b)(1)(B)(vii) On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart

Case 1:12-cr-00132-JMB ECF No. 1029, PageID.10878 Filed 04/14/14 Page 2 of 2

from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and

penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count 1 and Count 15 of the

Fourth Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the

written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the

plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are

specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: April 14, 2014 /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than 14 days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -